

**The neural basis of stop-signal inhibition in healthy  
individuals and in schizophrenia patients**

Matthew Edward Hughes  
BSc(Psyc)(Hons)

Submitted to the University of Newcastle, October 2009, in fulfillment of the  
degree of Doctor of Philosophy

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

Matthew Edward Hughes

## *Acknowledgements*

Thanks must first go to my primary supervisor, Professor Pat Michie, for her inspiration and unwavering support, encouragement and confidence in me during this process, and to my secondary supervisor, Dr Ross Fulham for his assistance and conversations regarding data analysis, which I have found invaluable. I would also like to thank a former supervisor, Dr Bill Budd, and Dr Patrick Johnson, for their assistance and friendship (and cigarettes!) that I have enjoyed throughout.

Acknowledgements and thanks must also go to the many others who were integral to the studies detailed here, notably the Schizophrenia Research Institute (SRI) for supporting this work financially, the staff at Hunter Imaging (John Hunter Hospital, Newcastle) particularly Gary O’Conner and Steve Hudson for their assistance with data acquisition, and to Gavin Cooper at the University of Newcastle for programming the experiments.

This process has been made considerably easier by my network of family and friends, who have supported me throughout, especially my mother Vicki, father Robert and his partner Kerry, and my brothers Andrew and Luke without whom I would never have made it. Additionally, I would like to thank my oldest friends, especially Fraser, Robert, Drew, Colin and Trent for their support and good humour. And to my more recently acquired friends from the various labs I have worked and studied in, in particular Alyna, Vanessa, Juanita, Rowena and Sharna for all the conversation and joking around. Special thanks must go to Kathryn for her support, friendship, yoga sessions, tennis games and general fun – high times indeed! Finally, I would like to thank Christina for her love and support during the final stages of writing, and for ignoring my innumerable foibles.

## Table of Contents

<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>VII</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>IX</b>
<b>GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	<b>XIV</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>XVI</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: EXECUTIVE CONTROL</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1. Control of cognition</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1.1. Introduction .....	1
1.1.2 Control and the executive system.....	1
1.1.3 Definition of executive control.....	2
1.1.4 Roles and types of executive functions .....	3
1.1.5 Inhibition as a core feature of cognition .....	4
<b>1.2. Behavioural inhibition paradigms</b> .....	<b>5</b>
1.2.1. Behavioural inhibition .....	5
1.2.2. Go/No-go paradigms .....	8
1.2.3 The stop-signal paradigm .....	9
1.2.4 The race model .....	11
1.2.5 Estimating SSRT .....	14
Average SSRT .....	15
SSRT at the mean of the inhibition function .....	15
SSRT at the median of the inhibition function .....	15
1.2.6 Inhibition functions .....	16
1.2.7 Caveats to stop-signal inhibition indices.....	17
1.2.8 Appropriate setting of stop-signal delays .....	17
<b>CHAPTER 2: THE FUNCTIONAL NEUROANATOMY OF BEHAVIOUR</b> .....	<b>19</b>
<b>2.1. Cortico-basal ganglia-thalamocortical pathways</b> .....	<b>19</b>
2.1.1. Introduction .....	19
2.1.2. Cortico-basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical circuitry .....	19
2.1.3. Direct, indirect and hyperdirect cortico-basal ganglia-thalamocortical pathways.....	23
<b>2.2. The functional neuroanatomy engaged during stop-signal inhibition</b> .....	<b>26</b>
2.2.1. Overview .....	26
2.2.2. Rodent lesion evidence.....	28
2.2.3. Human lesion and TMS evidence.....	32
2.2.4. Human neuroimaging evidence .....	34
2.2.5. Stopping in Parkinson’s Disease .....	36
2.2.6. ERPs elicited during stop-signal inhibition .....	39
2.3.1 Outstanding issues and present studies.....	47
<b>CHAPTER 3: EXPERIMENT 1</b> .....	<b>50</b>

<b>3.1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>3.2. Methods.....</b>	<b>55</b>
3.2.1. Participants and Procedures.....	55
3.2.2. Tasks and Stimuli .....	56
Stop-signal task: fMRI acquisition .....	56
Stop-signal task: Inhibition function.....	58
MR image acquisition.....	59
MR image pre-processing.....	59
Data Analysis: Behavioural data.....	59
Data Analysis: MRI data .....	60
Region of interest (ROI) analyses.....	61
<b>3.3. Results .....</b>	<b>62</b>
3.3.1. Behavioural data: fMRI session .....	62
Inhibition function data.....	63
3.3.2. Functional brain activation .....	63
Relationship between performance measures and functional brain activation .....	69
Small volume correction (SVC) analyses.....	69
<b>3.4. Discussion.....</b>	<b>70</b>
3.4.1. Behavioural data .....	70
3.4.2. Whole brain activation .....	71
Right inferior frontal gyrus.....	71
ACC.....	73
PreSMA .....	74
Right inferior parietal lobe.....	75
Cerebellum .....	75
3.4.3. Conclusion.....	77
 <b>CHAPTER 4: EXPERIMENT 2.....</b>	 <b>79</b>
<b>4.1 Introduction.....</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>4.2 Methods.....</b>	<b>87</b>
4.2.1. Participants and Procedures.....	87
4.2.2. Tasks and stimuli .....	87
Behavioural variables .....	89
Stimulus presentation .....	91
4.2.3. fMRI session.....	92
Participants .....	92
MR image acquisition.....	92
MR image pre-processing.....	92
Modeling: First level analyses .....	93
Group level analyses.....	94
Determining functional neuroanatomy .....	94
Region of interest (ROI) analyses.....	94
4.2.4. ERP session .....	95
Participants .....	95
EEG data recording .....	95
ERP data preprocessing .....	96
ERP trial averaging.....	96
ADJAR correction procedure for stop-signal task group average waveforms.....	96
Measurement of components from Stop and Stop Failure ERP waveforms.....	97
4.2.5 Correlation of Stop>Go contrasts with Stop related components.....	98

<b>4.3. Results .....</b>	<b>98</b>
4.3.1. fMRI session.....	98
4.3.1.1 Behavioural data.....	98
Reaction time (RT) data.....	98
Pre- and Post- event RT differences .....	99
Stop-signal trial data .....	100
4.3.1.2. fMRI data .....	101
Stops > Baseline .....	101
Simple correlation: SSRT and Stops > Baseline.....	102
Stop Failures > Baseline .....	106
Small volume correction (SVC) with a priori ROIs .....	108
Stops > Stop Failures.....	110
Stop Failures > Stops.....	112
ROI analyses: Analysis of parameter estimates .....	113
4.3.3. ERP session .....	116
4.3.3.1. Behavioural data .....	116
RT data .....	116
Pre- and Post- event RT differences .....	117
Stop-signal trial data .....	119
4.3.3.2. Go ERPs .....	119
4.3.3.3. Stop-signal ERPs .....	121
Other features of stop-signal ERPs.....	124
Central features of stop-signal ERPs .....	124
Auditory evoked N1: average waveform analyses .....	125
Stop-signal N1 latency.....	126
Stop-signal N1 Amplitude .....	126
Mean Stop-signal N2 amplitude .....	127
Stop-signal P3.....	128
Stop-signal P3 peak latency.....	129
Stop-signal P3 peak amplitude .....	130
Stop-signal P3 mean amplitudes (170 – 400 ms).....	130
Relationships between peak measures and stopping indices .....	131
4.3.3.4. Comparison of fMRI and ERP behavioural data .....	134
4.3.3.5. Correlation of Stop>Go contrasts with Stop related ERP measures .....	135
<b>4.4. Discussion.....</b>	<b>135</b>
4.4.1. Basic behavioural findings .....	135
4.4.2. fMRI findings .....	137
4.4.3.ERP findings.....	144
ADJAR efficacy .....	145
Stop signals elicit N1 and P3 potentials.....	145
4.4.4. Integration of fMRI and ERP findings .....	150
4.4.5. Conclusions .....	151
<b>CHAPTER 5: A BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO SCHIZOPHRENIA.....</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>5.1. What is schizophrenia?.....</b>	<b>153</b>
5.1.1. Introduction .....	153
5.1.2. Prevalence and incidence.....	154
5.1.3. Diagnostics .....	154
Symptomatology.....	154
Positive symptoms.....	156
Negative Symptoms.....	157
Diagnosis .....	158
5.2. Underlying causes of schizophrenia .....	158

5.2.1. Theories .....	158
5.2.2. Neurotransmitter systems and schizophrenia .....	160
The role of dopamine in schizophrenia .....	160
Dopaminergic pathways and antipsychotics .....	161
The role of glutamate in schizophrenia .....	166
<b>5.3. Neuropsychological functioning in schizophrenia .....</b>	<b>168</b>
5.3.1. Neuropsychological measures as diagnostic criteria .....	168
5.3.2. Neuropsychological impairment in schizophrenia .....	169
5.3.3. Executive functions, attention and memory impairments in schizophrenia .....	170
5.3.4. Some types of executive functions .....	172
5.3.5. What is probed during executive function tasks? .....	174
5.3.6. Performance requirements for executive function tasks .....	177
<b>5.4. Behavioural inhibition as a discrete impairment in schizophrenia .....</b>	<b>178</b>
5.4.1. Behavioural findings .....	178
5.4.2. Further evidence for response inhibition impairments in schizophrenia .....	180
5.4.3. Go/No-go evidence .....	181
5.4.4. ERP evidence .....	181
5.4.5. Neuroimaging evidence .....	183
<b>5.5. Stop-signal evidence .....</b>	<b>186</b>
5.5.1. Studies of stop-signal inhibition .....	186
5.5.2. Stopping in at-risk groups .....	186
5.5.3. Stopping in patients with a diagnosis of schizophrenia .....	188
5.5.4. Neuroimaging evidence .....	189
<b>CHAPTER 6: EXPERIMENT 3 .....</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>6.1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>6.2. Method .....</b>	<b>194</b>
6.2.1. Research overview .....	194
6.2.2. Participants .....	194
Patient medication details and symptom ratings .....	195
6.2.3. Tasks and stimuli .....	196
Stimulus presentation .....	198
6.2.4. Behavioural variables .....	198
6.2.5. fMRI data .....	199
MR image acquisition .....	199
MR image pre-processing .....	199
Identifying 'bad scans' .....	200
Modeling: First level analyses .....	200
Modeling: Second level analyses .....	201
Small volume correction (SVC) analyses .....	202
6.2.5. ERP data .....	202
EEG data recording .....	202
ERP data preprocessing .....	203
ERP trial averaging .....	203
ADJAR correction and Group averaging procedure for stop-signal group average waveforms .....	203
Component measures analyses for Go, Stop and Stop Failure waveforms .....	203
Integration of fMRI and ERP data .....	204
Latency and amplitude measures of Stop-N1, Stop-P3 and the Stop P3-N1 latency were correlated with Stops > Go contrast maps and explored in an SVC analysis using a priori ROIs outlined previously. Additionally, the mean of a potential identified in the N2 latency range in Stop Failure ERPs for both	

groups was correlated with Stop Failure > Go contrast maps for each group separately, testing for a negative relationship. This data was (post-hoc) explored by application of an ACC ROI constructed from AAL maps in an SVC analysis. .... 204

<b>6.3. Results</b> .....	<b>204</b>
6.3.1. Trial type proportions for fMRI and ERP sessions .....	204
6.3.2. fMRI session .....	206
6.3.2.1. Age, gender and education .....	206
6.3.2.2. Behavioural data analyses .....	206
RT data .....	207
SSRT and SSD analyses .....	207
Errors .....	208
Pre and post-event RT analyses .....	208
Relationships between behavioural variables and symptom profiles .....	210
6.3.2.3. fMRI data .....	210
Go > Baseline: one-sample t-tests .....	210
Go > Baseline: Matched sample t-tests .....	210
Stops > Go contrast: One sample t-tests .....	212
Matched samples t-tests of Stop > Go contrast .....	216
Number of activated voxels in Go > Stops and Stops > Go contrasts for patient and controls groups .....	220
Stop Failures > Go: One sample t-tests .....	220
Matched sample t-tests .....	224
Simple correlation: SSRT and Stops > Go .....	229
6.3.3. ERP session .....	232
6.3.3.1. Age and education .....	232
6.3.3.2. Behavioural data analyses .....	233
RT data .....	233
Error analyses .....	233
SSD and SSRT .....	233
Relationships between behavioural variables and symptom profiles .....	234
Pre and post event RTs differences .....	234
6.3.3.3. Go stimulus ERP analyses .....	235
Go-N1 data .....	237
Peak Go-N1 amplitude and latency analyses .....	238
Parietal sites: Go-N1 peak amplitude .....	238
Parietal sites: Go-N1 peak latency .....	238
Mean Go-N1 amplitude analysis .....	238
Scalp topography analysis: mean Go-N1 amplitudes .....	238
Peak Go-P3 amplitude and latency analyses .....	239
Parietal sites: Go-P3 peak amplitude .....	239
Parietal sites: Go-P3 peak latency .....	240
Scalp topography analysis: mean Go-P3 amplitudes .....	240
6.3.3.4. Stop and Stop Failure ADJAR correction waveforms .....	240
6.3.3.5. Stop-signal ERP analyses .....	242
Auditory evoked N1: average waveform analyses .....	245
Stop-signal N1 peak latency and amplitude measures: At Cz only .....	246
Stop-signal N1 peak amplitude and latency measures: Across fronto-central sites .....	246
Inter-relationships between N1 measures, age and symptomatology .....	248
Mean N2 amplitude measures .....	250
P3 peak amplitude and latency measures .....	250
Latency measures .....	250
Peak amplitude analyses .....	251
Mean amplitudes: Scalp topography analysis (Group x Hand x Inhibition x AP x Laterality ANOVA) .....	252
Relationship between stop-signal P3 measures and symptomatology .....	253
Relationships between SSRT and ERP amplitude and latency measures .....	253

Relationships between ERP measures and Stops>Go contrasts .....	253
6.3.4. Between session behavioural analyses .....	254
<b>6.4. Discussion.....</b>	<b>255</b>
6.4.1. Overview .....	255
6.4.2. Behavioural Data .....	256
SSRT .....	256
Pre and post event RTs .....	260
6.4.3. fMRI data .....	261
Stopping data .....	261
Go data.....	263
6.4.4. ERP data .....	264
ADJAR efficacy .....	264
Go and stop-signal waveforms .....	265
N1 findings .....	266
Stop-signal N1 modulation .....	267
N1 Latency .....	270
P3 findings.....	270
N2 findings .....	273
<b>CHAPTER 7: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>275</b>
7.1 Introduction .....	275
7.2 Key findings .....	275
7.3 Neuroimaging data .....	277
7.4 Electrophysiological data .....	280
7.5 Stopping in patients with schizophrenia .....	282
7.6 How this thesis has added to the literature .....	284
7.7 Limitations of studies .....	286
7.8 Future directions .....	288
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>290</b>

## *List of Figures*

Figure 1.1. Go and No-go trials in a simple Go/No-go variant.....	9
Figure 1.2. Stop-signal paradigm trial types.....	10
Figure 1.3. Models used to describe inhibition.....	12
Figure 1.4: The race model (adapted from Logan & Cowan, 1984).....	13
Figure 2.01. The parallel cortico-basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical circuits (adapted from Alexander et al., 1986).....	20
Figure 2.02. The ‘direct’, ‘indirect’ and ‘hyperdirect’ cortico-basal ganglia-thalamocortical pathways (adapted from Nambu et al., 2002).....	24
Figure 3.1. Brain activation revealed by the Stop vs. Go contrast slicing up through cerebellar and cerebral cortices from left to right and top to bottom.....	67
Figure 3.2. Correlation between Stop vs. Go contrast values in right inferior frontal gyrus ROI and average SSRT across delays.....	69
Figure 4.01. Stop-signal task trials.....	89
Figure 4.02. Regression of PI and SSRT.....	101
Figure 4.03. Cortical activation for Stops > Baseline contrast (thresholding at $p < .001$ , 10 contiguous voxels) with views of right hemisphere (left), superior midline (centre) and left hemisphere (right) activation.....	103
Figure 4.04. Brain areas in Stops > Baseline contrast maps showing significant positive correlations with SSRT (thresholding at $p < .01$ , and 10 contiguous voxels).....	103
Figure 4.05. Negative correlation between Stops > Baseline contrast maps and SSRT (thresholding at $p < .01$ , and 10 contiguous voxels), showing right hemisphere (on left), mid-line sagittal (middle), and left hemisphere (on right) views.....	106
Figure 4.06. Cortical activation for Stop Failures > Baseline contrast (thresholding was $p < .001$ , 10 contiguous voxels) with views of the right hemisphere (left), superior midline (centre) and left hemisphere (right) activation.....	107
Figure 4.07. Brain regions showing significant activation in the contrast of Stops > Stop Failures (thresholding was $p < .01$ , and 10 contiguous voxels).....	112
Figure 4.08. Scatterplots of mean parameter estimates against SSRT for ROIs that were significantly correlated with SSRT, in addition to the correlation of MFG parameter estimates and SSRT.....	115
Figure 4.09. Left and right hand grand average Go ERPs at frontal (F3, Fz, F4), central (C3, Cz, C4) and parietal sites (P3, Pz, P4).....	120
Figure 4.10. Scalp correction waveforms estimated by the ADJAR procedure at midline and lateral frontal (F3,Fz,F4), central (C3,Cz,C4) and parietal sites (P3,Pz,P4) for left and right Stops and Stop Failures.....	122
Figure 4.11. Plots of uncorrected stop-signal ERPs, ADJAR correction waveforms, and ADJAR corrected stop-signal ERPs at Cz for left and right hands.....	123
Figure 4.12. Stop-signal ERPs for left and right hands.....	125
Figure 4.13. Scatterplots depicting relationships observed between SSRT and left hand Stop-P3 amplitude (on left), and SSRT and right hand Stop-P3 (on right) at Fz.....	132
Figure 4.14. Scatterplots of the difference between Stop-P3 and Stop-N1 peak latency and SSRT for left and right hands at Fz.....	133
Figure 6.01. Go > Baseline contrasts (right is right).....	212

Figure 6.02. Cortical activation for Stops > Go in control and patient groups.....	215
Figure 6.03. Subcortical and anterior cingulate (ACC) activation revealed in Stops > Go	216
Figure 6.04. Prefrontal and subcortical areas revealing greater activation in the controls > patients contrast above threshold activation .....	218
Figure 6.05. PFC activation surviving threshold ( $p < .05$ , 10 contiguous) when Stops > Go contrasts were compared for patients > controls.....	219
Figure 6.06. Within group activation for (A) controls (top panel views: right hemisphere cortex, superior cortical surface, and left hemisphere cortex), and (B) patients (bottom panel views: right hemisphere cortex, axial slice emphasizing STN activation,, and left hemisphere cortex).....	223
Figure 6.07. Control group activation shown by axial slices through the cortex for the Stops > Stop Failure contrast (thresholding was $p < .01$ , 10 contiguous voxels).....	228
Figure 6.08. Left and right hand correct grand average Go ERPs for control and patient groups.....	236
Figure 6.09. Outcome from ADJAR correction procedure at Cz for (A) left hand, and (B) right hand, Stop and Stop Failure grand average ERP waveforms for control and patient groups.....	242
Figure 6.10. Left hand ADJAR corrected Stop and Stop Failure grand average ERP waveforms for control and patient groups. ....	244
Figure 6.11. Right hand ADJAR corrected Stop and Stop Failure grand average waveforms for control and patient groups. ....	245
Figure 6.12. Scatterplots of Stop-N1 latency and total SANS scores for left and right hands at Fz (top panel) and Cz (bottom panel). ....	249

## *List of Tables*

Table 3.1.....	62
Behavioural Data obtained from Scanning Sessions. RT means displayed are calculated from median RTs from individual participants.....	62
Table 3.2.....	63
Mean Stop-signal Delay (SSD) and Mean Probability of Inhibition (P(I)) at each delay. ..	63
Table 3.3.....	64
Group brain activation for the Stops > Passive contrast. ....	64
Table 3.4.....	65
Group brain activation for the Go > Passive contrast. ....	65
Table 3.5.....	68
Group Brain Activation Revealed in the Stop vs Go Contrast.....	68
Table 3.6.....	68
Non-parametric Group Brain Activation Revealed in the Stop vs Go Contrast. ....	68
Table 4.01.....	97
Latency ranges over which component measures were extracted for statistical analysis....	97
Table 4.02.....	99
Mean group data for behavioural indices (with standard deviations in parentheses) from fMRI sessions.....	99
Table 4.03.....	100
Group means of participant median pre and post event RTs in ms collapsed over left and right hands (with standard deviations in parenthesis).....	100
Table 4.04.....	102
Activation table for Stops > Baseline (thresholding was $p < .001$ , 10 contiguous voxels)	102
Table 4.05.....	104
Brain areas revealing positive SSRT correlated BOLD variance in Stops > Baseline contrasts (thresholding was $p < .01$ , 10 contiguous voxels) .....	104
Table 4.06.....	105
Brain areas revealing negative SSRT correlated BOLD variance in Stops > Baseline contrasts (thresholding was $p < .01$ , 10 contiguous voxels) .....	105

Table 4.07.....	107
Activation table for Stop Failures > Baseline (thresholding was $p < .001$ , and 10 contiguous voxels).....	107
Table 4.08.....	109
Small volume correction (SVC) output for right hemisphere <i>a priori</i> regions of interest (ROIs).....	109
Table 4.09.....	111
Activation table for Stops > Stop Failures (thresholding was $p < .01$ , and 10 contiguous voxels).....	111
Table 4.10.....	113
Activation table for Stop Failures > Stops (thresholding was $p < .01$ , and 10 contiguous voxels).....	113
Table 4.11.....	114
Means and standard deviations of parameter estimates extracted in right hemisphere brain regions defined by AAL probability maps and their Pearson's correlation ( $r$ ) with SSRT (one-tailed) .....	114
Table 4.12.....	115
Correlation matrix of parameter estimates extracted from <i>a priori</i> ROIs that were significantly correlated with SSRT, $r$ (sig.) .....	115
Table 4.13.....	117
Mean scores on behavioural indices (with standard deviations in parentheses) from ERP sessions.....	117
Table 4.14.....	118
Group mean pre and post event RTs (with standard deviations in parentheses) collapsed over left and right hands.....	118
Table 4.15.....	127
Summary of group mean ( $N = 12$ , with standard deviation in parentheses) Stop-N1 and Stop Failure-N1 peak amplitude and latency measures of N1 (time window = 50 – 150 ms) at Fz and Cz for left and right hand events .....	127
Table 4.16.....	128

Summary of group mean (with standard deviation in parentheses) Stop and Stop Failure N2 mean amplitude measures (across the time window 180 – 320 ms) at midline electrodes averaged for left and right hand events (N = 14).....	128
Table 4.17.....	129
Summary of group mean (with standard deviation in parentheses) Stop and Stop Failure peak amplitude and latency measures of P3 (time window = 170 – 600 ms) at Fz, Cz and Pz for left and right hand events (N = 11).....	129
Table 4.18.....	131
Summary of group mean (with standard deviation in parentheses) Stop and Stop Failure P3 mean amplitude measures (across the time window 170 – 400 ms) at midline electrodes averaged for left and right hand events (N = 14).....	131
Table 6.01.....	195
Antipsychotic medication summary for the patient group.....	195
Table 6.02.....	196
Mean ratings (with standard deviations in parentheses) on SAPS and SANS sub-scales, total global scores for the patient group.....	196
Table 6.03.....	205
Mean numbers and proportions (with standard deviations in parentheses) of trial types by experimental session .....	205
Table 6.04.....	208
Left and right hand fMRI session behavioural data for patient and control groups .....	208
Table 6.05.....	209
Patient and control group median pre and post event RTs for left and right hands .....	209
Table 6.06.....	211
Brain areas surviving thresholding from matched samples t-tests, Controls > Patients and Patients > Controls, in a comparison of Go > Baseline contrast maps (thresholding was $p < .01$ , and 10 contiguous voxels) .....	211
Table 6.07.....	213
Control group activation for Stops > Go contrast (thresholding was $p < .01$ , and 10 contiguous voxels) .....	213
Table 6.08.....	214

Patient group activation for Stops > Go contrast (thresholding was $p < .01$ , and 10 contiguous voxels) .....	214
Table 6.09.....	217
Areas surviving thresholding in a matched-samples t-test contrast testing differences in Stop > Go related activation for Controls > Patients .....	217
Table 6.10.....	219
Areas surviving thresholding in a matched-samples t-test contrast testing differences in Stop > Go related activation for Patients > Controls .....	219
Table 6.11.....	220
Total voxels surviving thresholding (at $p < .05$ , 5 contiguous voxels) for left, right and combined Go > Stops and Stops > Go contrasts for patient and control groups .....	220
Table 6.12.....	221
Control group activation for Stop Failures > Go one sample t-test ( $p < .01$ , and 10 voxels) .....	221
Table 6.13.....	222
Patient group activation for Stop Failures > Go one-sample t-test ( $p < .01$ , and 10 voxels) .....	222
Table 6.14.....	224
Areas surviving thresholding in a matched-samples t-test contrast testing differences in Stop Failures > Go related activation for Controls > Patients. ....	224
Table 6.15.....	225
Areas surviving thresholding in a matched-samples t-test contrast testing differences in Stop Failures > Go related activation for Patients > Controls .....	225
Table 6.16.....	226
Control group activation for a repeated-measures t-test contrast of (Stops > Go) > (Stop Failures > Go; $p < .01$ , and 10 voxels).....	226
Table 6.17.....	228
Control group activation for a repeated-measures t-test contrast of (Stop Failures > Go) > (Stops > Go; $p < .01$ , and 10 voxels) .....	228
Table 6.18.....	229

Control group t-maps arising from the negative correlation of SSRT with Stops > Go contrast maps (thresholding was $p < .01$ and 10 contiguous voxels).....	229
Table 6.19.....	230
Patient group t-maps arising from the correlation of SSRT with Stops > Go contrast maps (thresholding was $p < .01$ and 10 contiguous voxels).....	230
Table 6.20.....	231
SVC analyses for control group Stops > Go and Stop Failures > Go contrast t-maps .....	231
Table 6.21.....	232
ERP session behavioural data (hand-wise) for patients and control groups .....	232
Table 6.22.....	235
Control and patient group pre and post event RTs for left and right hand events .....	235
Table 6.23.....	237
Group means at parietal sites P3 and P4 for Go-N1 peak amplitude and latency (controls = 11, patients = 9), and for mean Go-N1 amplitude (controls = 13, patients = 10).....	237
Table 6.24.....	251
Group mean stop-signal P3 peak latency measures (in milliseconds, ms) for control (N = 12) and patient (N = 7) groups used in fronto-central midline electrode analysis. Standard deviations are in parentheses .....	251
Table 6.25.....	252
Group mean stop-signal P3 peak amplitude measures (in microvolts, $\mu\text{V}$ ) for control (N = 12) and patient (N = 7) groups used in fronto-central midline electrode analysis. Standard deviations are in parentheses .....	252

## *Glossary of abbreviations*

AAL.....	automated anatomical labeling
ACC.....	anterior cingulate cortex
ADJAR.....	adjacent response technique
ADHD.....	attention deficit hyperactivity disorder
BA.....	Brodman Area
BOLD.....	blood oxygenation level dependent
DBS.....	deep brain stimulation
DLPFC.....	dorsolateral prefrontal cortex
DSM.....	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders
EEG.....	electroencephalogram
EOS.....	early onset schizophrenia
EPI.....	echo-planar imaging
EPS.....	extra-pyramidal symptoms
ERP.....	event-related potential
FEF.....	frontal eye fields
fMRI.....	functional magnetic resonance imaging
FWHM.....	full width at the half-maximum
GABA.....	gamma amino butyric acid
GABAergic.....	using GABA as a neurotransmitter
GLM.....	general linear model
GoRT.....	median Go reaction time
GP.....	globus pallidus
GPe.....	globus pallidus ( <i>pars externa</i> - external capsule)
GPi.....	globus pallidus ( <i>pars interna</i> - internal capsule)
HRF.....	hemodynamic response function
IFG.....	inferior frontal gyrus
IPL.....	inferior parietal lobe
ISI.....	interstimulus interval
M1.....	primary motor cortex (or sensorimotor cortex)
MFG.....	middle frontal gyrus
MNI.....	Montreal Neurological Institute
MRT.....	median reaction time
NMDA.....	N-methyl D-aspartate
N1.....	first negative-going ERP component
N2.....	second negative-going ERP component
OCD.....	obsessive-compulsive disorder
OFC.....	orbital frontal cortex
PCP.....	phencyclidine
PET.....	positron emission tomography
PD.....	Parkinson's Disease
PI.....	probability of inhibition (also P(i))
PFC.....	prefrontal cortex
PMC.....	premotor cortex
PR.....	probability of responding (also P(r))

P3.....third positive going ERP component  
 preSMA.....pre-supplementary motor area (or anterior supplementary motor area)  
 ROI.....region of interest  
 RTs.....reaction times  
 SANS.....scale for the assessment of negative symptoms  
 SAPS.....scale for the assessment of positive symptoms  
 SFG.....superior frontal gyrus  
 SMA.....supplementary motor area  
 SNr.....substantia nigra *pars reticulata*  
 SOA.....stimulus onset asynchrony  
 SSD.....stop-signal delay  
 SSRT.....stop-signal reaction time  
 STN.....subthalamic nucleus  
 STG.....superior temporal gyrus  
 STR.....striatum  
 SVC.....small volume correction  
 Thal.....thalamus  
 TMS.....transcranial magnetic stimulation  
 VLFPC.....ventrolateral prefrontal cortex  
 VTA.....ventral tegmental area  
 WCST.....Wisconsin card sorting test  
 ZRFT.....z relative finishing time

## ***Abstract***

The capacity to inhibit planned or on-going action enables individuals to flexibly control behaviour in response to changing task demands or a change in goals. This capacity, termed *response inhibition*, is a core function of the executive control system and is often studied in laboratory settings using the stop-signal paradigm, which was used for studying response inhibition throughout this thesis. The stop-signal paradigm (Logan & Cowan, 1984) is increasingly being used by research groups to study response inhibition largely due to the indices of behavioural control afforded by stop-signal procedures, notably the speed of response inhibition processes and the capacity to trigger these processes.

Lesion, transcranial magnetic stimulation and neuroimaging experiments have linked stopping to activity in the right inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), and some evidence indicates a role for the subthalamic nucleus (STN). These brain areas are thought to form a network which acts by suppressing thalamo-cortical output to motor cortex. Event-related potential studies have linked stopping to amplitude enhancement of an N1-P3 complex during successful inhibition trials compared to unsuccessful inhibition trials.

The primary aim of this thesis was to investigate the spatio-temporal dynamics of stop-signal inhibition in healthy individuals using electrophysiological and neuroimaging methods, and secondly, to investigate the neural basis of impaired stopping in patients with a diagnosis of schizophrenia – the first of its kind using the stop-signal paradigm. Several previous behavioural studies have reported slowed stop-signal response inhibition processes in patients with schizophrenia, but an impaired capacity to trigger response inhibition processes has also been reported.

In each of the three neuroimaging studies detailed herein, stopping was related to activation in right IFG and STN, and in one study a model for the difficulty of inhibition was proposed, which predicted activity in this network. Consistent with previous reports, stopping processes in patients with schizophrenia were slower compared to controls, and right IFG and STN were uniquely underactivated in the patient group. Additionally, one study revealed a link between response inhibition speed and both Stop-P3 amplitude, and the latency difference between N1 and P3 potential peaks elicited on stop-signal trials.